Figure 1. Location of Hermosa Cliffs study area with respect to the paleogeography of the Colorado Fm., from Shelf to Basin. Gianniny and itter, 2010, RMS-AAPG 2010, Field Trip 1 Guidebook, The Pennsylvanian Hermosa Plateau and the location of the "Silverton Delta" from Moreland and Wray (2009). 00 Miskell-Gerhardt,



MIDDLE PENNSYLVANIAN PARADOX BASIN



Figure 2. Cross-section through the Paradox Basin showing location the of the study area on the northeastern margin. Modified from Stevense and Baars, 1982.

| | | | | | | | | | <u></u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
|---------------|--|-----------|-----------|---|-------------|-------------|---------------|---|---------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------------------------|--|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | PE | NNS | YLVA | NIA | N : | SUBS | SYST | EM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DESMOINESIAN | | | | | | | | | | | | | MISSOURIAN | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | ł | IERM | IOSA | • | GR | OUP | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | |] | PARA | ADO2 | X FO | ORM | ATION | | | | | | | | | H | ONA | KER T | RA | IL FO | ORMA | TION | | | _ | | |
| | Barke | r Creek | | | Akah | | Deser | t Creek | L.I | smay | U. Is | may | | | | | | | | ning Standard System | | | | | | | |
| - | - 20 | | | | | 18 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 24 | | | | | | | 50 | | 52 | 55 | | , , | 3 | 59 | 5 | | |
| E | 77 | | | | | | 48 40 | | 39 | 26 | 25 | 25 | | | | | 21 | | | 3 | 17 | 14 | 1 | 3 | 10 | | |
| - | 28 | | | | | | | 73 | 74 | 82 | 83 | | | | | | | 120 | | 124 | 126 | 130 | 1.7.7 | 5 | 142 | 5 | |
| - | | 32 | | | | | 62 | 77 | 78 | 84 84 | 8 | | | 3 | } | 104 | 108 | 118 | | 121 | 123 | 126 | | | |) | |
| | | I. obliq | quus | | I.n s | . A | <i>I</i> . n. | <i>I</i> . n. | <i>I</i> . n. sp. C | | Lexpansus | | | I.sp. 5 of | S. canc. I. ecc. I. nod. I. sp. 5 of | | | | S. conf. | | S. gracilis | | | | | | |
| San Juan Rive | | Г О в | - 30 m | <u>.</u> | e B B | \$ | Chimney Rock | | Gothic | Horn Point | Hovenweep | | | | | | | | | Unnamed Ls. | Little Loop | Mobil 126 | | Mendenhall Sc | Third Sand | Shafer LS. | |
| | | | 8 E F | | | | | R N Q N F 1-1-1-1 1-1-1-1-1 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1- | | | | | | | | - F | | | | | 9999 | | | | | | |
| | 234-6 | 10 7-8 | 13-14 | 17 15-16 | 19 18 | 20 | 22 | 23-28 | 29 | 30 | 31-33 | 34 34 | 35 | 37 37 | 39 | 41 | 43-44 43-44 | 47 46 | | 48 | 49 | 20 | 50 | 51 | 52 | | |
| | S-2.15 <u>S-2.7</u> <u>S-2.6</u> | | | A-3 A-1&2 | A-5 A-4 | A-7 A-6 | DC-1 | DC-2 | LI-1 | LI-2 | UI-1 to 3 | LHT-1 | LHT-3 LHT-2 | LH1-5 LHT-4 | UHT-1 | UHT-3 | UHT-5.6 | UHT-9 UHT-8 | | | | Fifth Go | n-order Idhamr | paras ner et | equence al. (199 | es o 91) | |
| | | | | Akah | | | Dese | Desert Creek | | Lower Ismay I | | Jpper smay | | Lower Honaker Trail | | Upper Honaker Trail | | | | | | Fourth-order sequences of Goldhammer et al. (1991) | | | s of 91) | | |
| | | | | S-3.2 S-3.4 S-3.3 S-3.2 S-3.1 S-2.15 | | 0 D A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Fifth-order parasequences of Gianniny (1995) | | | es o | | | |
| | Sequence 2 | | | Sequence 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Fou | rth-ord Gianr | ler sec niny (1 | quences 1995) | of | |

RITTER ET AL.-PENNSYLVANIAN CONODONTS, PARADOX BASIN, UTAH



Figure 1. Location map of the Paradox Basin, Utah, Colorado, Arizona, and New Mexico showing producing oil and gas fields, the Paradox fold and fault belt, and Blanding sub-basin as well as surrounding Laramide basins and uplifts (modified from Harr, 1996). + = Bill Barrett Corp., Johnson-Alkali Cyn #1

Traditional Paradox Basin Field Map (Harr, 1996), as reproduced by Eby et al, 2003.

WITH

• Gothic Shale contours (100' & 150') from Moreland and Wray (2009). Bill Barrett Corp. and Williams O&G interpret the Gothic Shale as pro-deltaic mudstones associated with the "Silverton Delta". Their shale gas play area is within the 100' contour (yellow).

• Location of the Hermosa Cliffs study area (green N-S trend), Coal Bank Pass measured section, Molas Pass (bioherms) and Sandstone Mountain measured section at Rico (Tadero et al., 2010). Note that transport Directions seen in the field along the Hermosa Cliffs trend are to the S/SW, suggesting the fluvio-deltaic systems described in this area did not drain into the Silverton Delta.

Figure 4. Location of Study Area with respect to Paradox Basin Oil and Gas Plays



Figure 6, Location of Hermosa Cliffs trend and measured sections. View North up Animas Valley from Hermosa to Coal Bank Pass





Figure 7, Summary of measured sections, geometries seen on photo pan and in field, facies, and sequence stratigraphic framework of the Pennsylvanian Hermosa Fm. along the Hermosa Cliffs tend north of Durango, Colorado. Miskell-Gerhardt, Gianniny and Ritter, 2010, RMS-AAPG 2010, Field Trip 1 Guidebook, The Pennsylvanian Hermosa Fm., from Shelf to Basin



Figure 8. Block diagram of early lowstand systems tract. From Gianniny, G.L., Miskell-Gerhardt, K.J. and S.M. Ritter, 2008, Basin Margin Evolution in Mixed Carbonate-Siliciclastic-Evaporite Sequences, Eastern Margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 2008 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas.

Evaporite Facies – Elkhorn Cyn. and Hermosa Mtn.



5 meter-thick, laminated gypsum with darker dolostone laminae. Elkhorn Cyn, 72m.



Interlaminated gypsum and carbonaceous black dolomitic siltstone. Elkhorn Cyn. 65m.



Brecciated carbonate boundstone, Elkhorn Cyn. 52m.



Gypsum overlying thin carbonates on top of deltaic clastics, Honeyville measured section at Hermosa Mtn., 0-13m.

Figure 9. Examples of evaporite facies seen at Hermosa Mountain and Elkhorn Canyon. From Gianniny, G.L., Miskell-Gerhardt, K.J. and S.M. Ritter, 2008, Basin Margin Evolution in Mixed Carbonate-Siliciclastic-Evaporite Sequences, Eastern Margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 2008 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas.

Evaporite Associated Facies - Shalona



Organic rich, dissolution breccia of laminated dolostone. Shalona section 140.4 m.



Calcareous boundstone "laminite" with fenestral porosity (Shalona section, 144 m.)



Brecciated dolomite (evaporite dissolution?) Shalona section, 146m.



Brecciated calcareous boundstone with black shale chips (evaporite dissolution? Shalona section, 150m.

Figure 10. Examples of evaporite associated facies seen at the Shalona measured section location. From Gianniny, G.L., Miskell-Gerhardt, K.J. and S.M. Ritter, 2008, Basin Margin Evolution in Mixed Carbonate-Siliciclastic-Evaporite Sequences, Eastern Margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 2008 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas.

Evaporite Associated Facies – N. Goulding Creek



Crinkly laminated dolostone, base of evaporite associated facies wedge at Goulding S., 60.5m.

> Dan Bassett by base of onlapping evaporite associated facies, Goulding S. 60m.

Black bioturbated dolomudstone, overlain by laminite in photo at right. Goulding S., 65m.

> Mudcracks and spar-filled fenestral Porosity. Goulding S., 65.3m.



Figure 11. Examples of evaporite associated facies seen at the S. Goulding Creek measured section location. From Gianniny, G.L., Miskell-Gerhardt, K.J. and S.M. Ritter, 2008, Basin Margin Evolution in Mixed Carbonate-Siliciclastic- Evaporite Sequences, Eastern Margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 2008 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas.



Figure 12. Block diagram of late lowstand to early transgressive systems tract. From Gianniny, G.L., Miskell-Gerhardt, K.J. and S.M. Ritter, 2008, Basin Margin Evolution in Mixed Carbonate-Siliciclastic-Evaporite Sequences, Eastern Margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 2008 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas.

Shelfal Clastic Facies

Channelized, conglomeratic sandstone. This facies is comprised of 30cm-thick beds of trough cross-bedded to planar-bedded, coarse-grained, arkosic sandstone to conglomerate. White spots in lower right are feldspars altered to kaolinite. Individual beds amalgamate into deposits which may be tens of meters thick. Fines are rare, but when present, often contain plant debris. Note the cut-and-fill geometries highlighted in the yellow box. These photographs are from the 273-287m interval at Castle Rock.



Figure 13. Shelfal clastic facies examples. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Publication.



Clinoform sandstone facies. Details of the clinoform sandstone facies include rhythmic, normally-graded beds with bioturbated tops (upper left), current ripples (upper right), soft-sediment deformation (lower left) and macerated plant debris (lower right).



Figure 14. Shelf clastic facies examples, ctd. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Publication.





Fissile, black shale facies at Castle Rock (88m). TOC = 3.39%





Green, micaceous mudstone, with thin interbeds of very fine-grained sandstone, is found in Nary Draw, at the base of a shoaling-upwards parasequence. The mudstones contain carbonaceous plant debris and rare, indistinct, trace fossils. The parasequences stack in an offlapping – downlapping geometry, indicating progradation to the south.

This sandstone unit is stacked in a clinoform geometry characterized by off-lapping at the top and downlapping at the base. The direction of progradation is to the southwest. The beds coarsen and shoal upwards within the unit, sometimes repeating as multiple parasequences, above a base of green, micaceous mudstone. Normally-graded beds, current ripples, bioturbation of the fines, soft-sediment deformation and macerated plant debris are common features of the finer-grained sands which occur in the toes of the clinoforms. Upper fine to coarse-grained sandstones in the upper clinoforms to top sets are typically planar-bedded to trough cross-bedded. This unit is found at Castle Rock, north of the measured section, but corresponding to the interval of 128-140m.

Figure 15. Shelf clastic facies examples. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp 310-380 RMAG 2009 Special Publication



Figure 16. Block diagram of late transgressive to highstand systems tract. From Gianniny, G.L., Miskell-Gerhardt, K.J.and S.M. Ritter, 2008, Basin Margin Evolution in Mixed Carbonate-Siliciclastic-Evaporite Sequences, Eastern Margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, 2008 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas.

Shelfal Carbonate Facies



Fossiliferous, calcareous shale. Castle Rock, 263m.



Grain-supported skeletal packstone. J.E. Ravine. Sequence 15.



Mud-supported, silty, fossiliferous **wackestone**. From Nary Draw recon section, sequence 12.



Phylloid **bafflestone** with extensive cement (pendant?) and geopedal brachiopod.

Figure 17. Shelfal carbonate facies examples. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Publication.

Shelfal Carbonate Facies



Ripple cross-laminated peloid / foram **grainstone**. Castle Rock, 220m.



Black pebble lag on exposure surface on top of carbonate bank. Castle Rock, 219m.



Microbiotic **boundstone** laminite ripup clasts. Castle Rock section, sequence 5.



Bedding-plane view of **rhyzolith** (root trace) on top of facies peloid / foram grainstone in J.E. Ravine, sequence 12.

Figure 18. Shelfal carbonate facies examples, ctd. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Publication.



Figure 19. Shelfal clastic and carbonate facies. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Publication.

Molas Pass Bioherm – Facies Pics



An oblique view of the Syringopora sp mats that cap and drape the south flank of the bioherm. Syringopora and Cheatetes are often interlaminated suggesting competition on the bioherm crest

Basal phyloid algal packstones also contain abundant *Komia*, crinoid, brachiopod and fusulind bioclasts.





Dome-shaped *Chaetetes* heads encrust and bind crinoidal packstones and grainstones in the top 75cm of the exposed mound.

Basal phyloid algal packstones (Phyl) are overlain and encrusted by *Chaetetes* boundstones (Ch)with rugose corals and abundant crinoid bioclasts



Figure 22. Digital images of major faunal components of the bioherm at the Molas Pass overlook in the Desmoisian lower Hermosa Group. Within the 6 meter thick mound, phyloid algae form the wackstone base, while packstones, boundstones, and grainstones form the upper meter. The estimated position of this buildup is at 278-384m in section 4 of Spoelhof (1974), which is probably equivalent to the Barker Creek, or less likely, the lower Akah interval.



Figure 27. Castle Rock measured section posted on the outcrop. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis. pp.310-380, RMAG 2009 Special Publication. Petrology by K. Miskell-Gerhardt, added 2010.



Figure 28. J.E. Ravine measured section posted on the outcrop. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Publication. Petrology by K. Miskell-Gerhardt, added 2010.



Figure 29, Nary Draw recon section posted on the outcrop. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Publication. Petrology by K. Miskell-Gerhardt, added 2010.

Nary Draw Stratigraphic Detail

Expansion of clastic section, wedge shape and progradational geometries to S/SW are interpreted as deltaic deposition filling in underlying accommodation space at the new lowstand shoreline.

Thin sandstone, interpreted as a fluvial feeder channel incised into the top of the exposed carbonate bank. This feeder bypassed sediment from the depositional shelf (underlying thick carbonate biostrome) to the depositional slope (underlying carbonate bank edge).

Massive

Carbonate Biostrome

Figure 30. Detail of sequences eleven through thirteen just north of Nary Draw. Red lines are sequence boundaries, green lines are transgressive surfaces. LST = lowstand systems tract. HST = Highstand systems tract. The underlying geometry of the sequence 11/12 highstand carbonate bank edge controls the position of the overlying sequence 13 lowstand fluvial – deltaic transition. From, Gianniny, G.L. and Miskell- Gerhardt, K.J., 2009, Progradational mixed siliciclastic / carbonate sequence sets on the tectonically active eastern margin of the Pennsylvanian Paradox Basin, Southwestern Colorado, in: Houston, W.S., Wray, L.W. and Moreland, P., (eds.), The Paradox Basin Revisited – New Developments in Petroleum Systems and Basin Analysis, pp.310-380. RMAG 2009 Special Pub.

Bank

Edge



Figure 32a. Correlation from North to South Goulding Creek.



Miskell-Gerhardt, Gianniny and Ritter, 2010, RMS-AAPG 2010, Field Trip 1 Guidebook, The Pennsylvanian Hermosa Fm., from Shelf to Basin

- 1 Sequence 10 LST/TST: Decrease in angle of clinoform to south interpreted as change from more proximal to more distal deltaic environment.
- 2 Sequence 10 HST: Decrease in thickness of carbonate biostrome to south interpreted as edge of platform, induced by the change in the inherited deltaic topography.
- 3 Sequence 11 LST: Onlapping wedge of dolostone, fissile black shale and laminated, mudcracked carbonate. These "Evaporite Associated Facies (EAF) correlates southwards (downdip) to gypsum at Hermosa Mtn.
- 4 Sequence 13 HST: Thinning and downlap of carbonate biostrome to south following similar thinning in underlying clastics.
- 5 Sequence 14 LST/TST: Expansion of thin sandstone fluvial feeder into a thick deltaic wedge, from N-S, into the accommodation space provided by the thinning of underlying sequence 13. Fills in basin forming a large, flat, shallow platform. Note autocyclic lobe switching in southern face.
- 6 Sequence 15: All carbontate / major transgression. Notice increase in interbedded shaley beds (more distal) to south.
- 7 Sequence16 LST/TST: Tidal deposits (NOT fluvio-deltaic). No underlying shelf edges so no deltas when SL falls.
- 8 Sequence 16 TST/HST: Widespread carbonate biostrome, again capping a wide platform. This flooding surface is the datum for the cross-section.
- 9 Sequence 17 HST: Green, micaceous, silty possibly lagoonal(?) carbonates is the transition from underlying dominantly marine to overlying dominantly non-marine deposition.
- 10 Seq 18: Fluvial, paleosols and restricted, lagoonal marine complete shoalling trend started at top of sequence 14. "Basin" in this location filled.





Miskell-Gerhardt, Gianniny and Ritter, 2010, RMS-AAPG 2010, Field Trip 1 Guidebook, The Pennsylvanian Hermosa Fm., from Shelf to Basin



Figure 35. Elkhorn Canyon Measured Section with TOC, biostratigraphy and petrology data posted.

Miskell-Gerhardt, Gianniny and Ritter, 2010, RMS-AAPG 2010, Field Trip 1 Guidebook, The Pennsylvanian Hermosa Fm., from Shelf to Basin









